

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,350	02/07/2002	Katsushi Fujii	219212US6	7425
22850	7590 06/11/2009		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SF	•	ND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	,	
	UA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/067,350	FUJII ET AL.				
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HUYNH	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>17 October 2008</u> is defective	for failure to comply with one or n	nore provisions	of 37 CFR 41.37.			
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file and 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notification					
1. The brief does not contain the items required to heading or in the proper order.	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
claims involved in the appeal, referring to the s by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fail appeal and for each dependent claim argued s 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set as corresponding to each claimed function with	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
other evidence entered by the examiner and re	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and</b> relied <b>upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
	e brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding intified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR .37(c)(1)(x)).					
10. Other (including any explanation in support of t						
4) The summary of claimed subject matter section described by page and line number and to the drawings separate	oes not map the independent claims ately (claims 4,5 and 6).	on appeal, to the s	specification			
	(David M. Tarana)	•				
	/Reginald Tyson/ Reginal Tyson Patent Appeal Specialist					
·						